UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

HONORABLE AVERN COHN

No. 07-20156

NADA NADIM PROUTY,

Defendant.

SENTENCING HEARING

Tuesday, May 13, 2008

Appearances:

Kenneth R. Chadwell U.S. Attorney's Office 211 W. Fort Street, #2300 Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313) 226-9100 On behalf of Plaintiff Thomas W. Cranmer
Miller Canfield
840 W. Long Lake Road, #200
Troy, Michigan 48098
(248) 879-2001

Howard M. Shapiro
WilmerHale
1875 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 663-6606
On behalf of Defendant

To obtain a certified transcript, contact:
Sheri K. Ward, Official Court Reporter
Theodore Levin United States Courthouse
231 West Lafayette Boulevard, Room 219
Detroit, Michigan 48226
(313)965-4401 • sward@fedreporter.com

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography. Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

Case 2:07-cr-20156-AC-MKM ECF No. 35 filed 05/16/08 PageID.281 Page 2 of 15

Sentencing Hearing Tuesday, May 13, 2008

INDEX

Sentencing Hearing	Page
Allocution by The Defendant	5
Allocution by Mr. Shapiro	6
Argument by Mr. Chadwell	9
Sentence of the Court	10
Certification of Reporter	14

_ _ _

1	Detroit, Michigan
2	Tuesday, May 13, 2008
3	3:02 p.m.
4	
5	THE CLERK: Now calling Case Number 07-20156,
6	Defendant Number 1, United States of America v. Nada Nadim
7	Prouty.
8	Will counsel please identify themselves for the
9	record.
10	MR. CHADWELL: Good afternoon, Your Honor,
11	Kenneth Chadwell appearing on behalf of the United States.
12	MR. CRANMER: Your Honor, good afternoon.
13	Tom Cranmer and Howard Shapiro appearing on behalf of
14	Ms. Prouty.
15	THE COURT: Thank you.
16	Ms. Prouty, you are here on your plea of guilty to
17	three charges: Conspiracy to defraud the United States,
18	unauthorized computer access, and naturalization fraud.
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: I have received a presentence report,
21	which recommends a guideline range of 10 to 16 months based
22	on an Offense Level of 10 and a Criminal History Category of
23	I. Your Rule 11 agreement calls for a guideline range of
24	6 to 12 months based on an Offense Level of 10 and a
25	Criminal History Category of I. I find that the Rule 11

agreement properly states the guideline range. 1 2 Now, are there any objections, additions or 3 deletions to the presentence report? MR. CRANMER: No, Your Honor. We had submitted 4 5 some objections, and I believe those were already dealt with by the Probation Department and we have nothing further to 6 7 add. Thank you. 8 Thank you. THE COURT: 9 MR. CHADWELL: The government has no objections as 10 well, Your Honor. 11 Parenthetically, I note that you have THE COURT: waived the statute of limitations to the offenses to which 12 13 you have pled guilty, and I am to sign as part of this a Judicial Order of Removal to Lebanon and concurrent Grant of 14 15 Withholding of Removal to Lebanon, which I'm going to do 16 now. 17 MR. CHADWELL: Your Honor, actually you need to 18 sign the other order prior to that one. I'll tell you, I'll sign this one 19 THE COURT: 20 first, then the other order second, but then I'll put the 21 second order on top of the first one. How is that? 22 MR. CHADWELL: That's fine. THE COURT: And no one will ever know which order 23 24 I signed them in.

MR. CHADWELL: Right.

25

THE COURT: That's how you acknowledge that. And I will put a little number 1 here and a little number 2 here so there is no dispute that I signed them in the proper sequence.

MR. CHADWELL: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I have received from your lawyer a comprehensive sentencing memorandum, which is part of the public record, and also an appendix of letters to me from relatives, friends and fellow workers. These letters are not part of the public record, and I deem them as personal communications to me because of some of the matters that are contained therein.

As to the sentencing memorandum, I urge those in the courtroom who may want to comment on the proceeding to read it. I'm going to put a copy on the clerk's desk.

Now, you have a right to address the Court, as does your lawyer and the government. I suggest that if any of you address the Court, you do not repeat what was said in the sentencing memorandum. I have read it.

Ms. Prouty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. First I want to thank you for giving me the opportunity to address the Court today, and what I want to say is when I was a nineteen-year-old student I foolishly decided to enter into a fraudulent marriage in order to become a United States

citizen. I could have, and I certainly should have, sought to become a citizen in the proper way. I accept full responsibility for my error in judgment, and I am very sorry for my mistake.

Nevertheless, sir, as I hope you can see from the letters of support and other documents submitted to Your Honor, I served this country well as an FBI agent and a CIA operations officer. On numerous occasions I put my life on the line overseas in order to protect our interests here at home.

I admit that I made mistakes, and I should appropriately be punished for those mistakes. However, I need to reiterate that I am not, and have never been, disloyal to the United States in any way, shape or form.

I respectfully ask this Court to consider my dedicated service, the unfounded and unfair nature of the more serious allegation against me, and the losses that my family and I have already suffered in deciding whatever is a just and fair sentence. Thank you.

THE COURT: Do either you, Mr. Shapiro, or you, Mr. Cranmer, want to say anything?

MR. SHAPIRO: Yes, Your Honor, if you will just allow me a couple of minutes on behalf of Ms. Prouty.

Your Honor, as you have heard, Ms. Prouty comes to sentencing deeply remorseful and sincerely sorry for her

actions, and as we have detailed and as I know Your Honor has read carefully in the sentencing memorandum, Ms. Prouty was just a nineteen-year-old student when she broke the law in obtaining her permanent residency. We have provided some of the facts about Ms. Prouty's upbringing and background, not to excuse her behavior, but to place it in context and to better explain it.

What she did and what she pleads guilty to are, of course, crimes, but how she has been portrayed in the press and the public opinion is simply not accurate. While an FBI agent and a CIA operations officer Ms. Prouty's service to the United States was dedicated and loyal, and as you can see from the countless letters of support and commendations, Ms. Prouty was an extraordinary asset to this country's counter-terrorism efforts.

Although the specific details of her contributions cannot be made public and cannot be disclosed because of national security concerns, we have submitted to you firsthand accounts from some 24 fellow FBI and CIA officers who served alongside her. Many details, of course, were redacted, but I'm sure Your Honor got the flavor of them.

It is important to emphasize that Ms. Prouty's violations in no way evidenced disloyalty or a threat to the United States. To the contrary, as these testimonials show, and as shown in her repeated polygraphs, both before and

after her guilty plea, she has always been and she remains loyal to her adopted country. Her record of service to the United States speaks volumes about her character.

And, to quote just one of the letters, this is from CIA Officer Number 13 that appears behind Tab 21, Your Honor, and as he said or she:

"Ms. Prouty's actions and bravery on the most dangerous front lines of the War on Terrorism make a valuable contribution in protecting the lives of our men and women in uniform as well as civilians at home and abroad. Given Ms. Prouty's repeated sacrifices and assumptions of great personal risk to her safety in the name of the United States, it is impossible to believe she would ever act against the United States or in any way which would harm the federal institutions which she served. Her service to her country, community, and moral standing are beyond reproach."

Your Honor, we respectfully request that you take both her exemplary service and indeed the severe penalty that she has already paid through loss of her citizenship into account in fashioning your sentence.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Chadwell.

MR. CHADWELL: Your Honor, as stated in the plea agreement, we do not object to any sentence within Zone B of the sentencing guideline range. I just want to make that clear up front. We ask that you accept the Rule 11 plea agreement, that you limit your sentence to no more than nine months, and that you follow all of the provisions in the plea agreement.

I just want to respond briefly, too, to the sentencing memorandum. I think the defense did state accurately in the memorandum that both parties agree that Ms. Prouty does not have to be incarcerated. That was pretty clear after the plea agreement was written.

Certainly Zone B gives a wide range of options the Court could do short of actual imprisonment, and the government, therefore, is not asking for that.

However, this case should be taken very seriously. It is a very serious case. A lot of people come to the United States the right way, work long hours in underserved areas and everything else to get their citizenship.

Ms. Prouty did not do that, and having not done that, she had this deep, dark secret in her past.

She then joined the country's two intelligence

agencies, the FBI and the CIA. She defrauded them into hiring her. They would have never hired her had they known her background.

She repeatedly signed forms saying she didn't have a deep, dark secret, and having a deep, dark secret is a very serious national security risk because if a foreign intelligence service finds out about your deep, dark secret and comes to you and says you have to do X, Y, Z or we are going to reveal this, that's a big problem. Now, I'm not saying Ms. Prouty did that or a foreign intelligence service learned of that, but this is why it is very, very important for the intelligence agencies, first of all, to ferret these things out before they get this far long and also that people not be in the intelligence services who have this type of a background and this type of vulnerability to the United States.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I should mention before I go ahead that there is also a performance evaluation that I have received from the CIA in redacted form which should be part of the public record and should be made available to anyone who wants to read it, so I will put that at the table.

What I intend to do now is impose the sentence and then state my reasons. I sentence you as follows:

You are to pay a \$750 fine as well as a special

assessment of \$225.

If you would like to sit down now, it will take me about five minutes to read this.

MR. SHAPIRO: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. CRANMER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: My job in sentencing is to consider the factors of 18 U.S.C. 3553(a) and impose a sentence sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to serve the purposes of sentencing as enumerated in the statute. I must also consider that there exists aggravating or mitigating circumstances of a kind or degree not adequately taken into consideration by the Sentencing Commission in promulgating the guidelines.

In considering your sentence, I have taken into consideration the recommended guideline range. I have also looked at your age, marital status, employment record, family ties, criminal history, socioeconomic status, education and vocational skills, as well as the other matters required by the statute.

This is a highly unique situation. The essential offense which you committed was marriage fraud. You engaged in a sham marriage to obtain citizenship and to avoid having to return to Lebanon. Your desire not to return to Lebanon given consideration to the conditions which existed there in the late 1990's, I'm sorry, in the early 1990's is

understandable.

You also improperly accessed a computer available to you at your work station. As to that offense, you did not print out anything, nor did you tell anyone what you read.

The personal circumstances, as noted, that led to the sham marriage occurred almost 20 years ago. Since that time you have rendered extraordinary services to the United States as an agent of the FBI and in the employ of the CIA. At no time did you ever compromise or jeopardize the integrity of any work assignment to improper behavior or inappropriate conduct. I have received a letter from the CIA, which reads:

"This letter is to inform you that the CIA conducted a debriefing of Nada Prouty, which began on 28 January 2008. It included a polygraph interview. Ms. Prouty was fully cooperative during those processes. The agency did not identify any information that Ms. Prouty cooperated or engaged in unauthorized contact with a foreign intelligence service or terrorist organization."

As a citizen you served your country honorably and effectively and at times in situations which exposed you to personal danger. One of your colleagues, now retired, has

written me as follows:

"Let me offer some personal observations about Ms. Prouty that rest on direct experience, not speculation. Ms. Prouty worked for me in Baghdad during the second half of 2003 where I was serving as the senior intelligence official in Iraq.

"Those were bad days. The insurgency was spreading throughout the country. Violence was spiraling upwards. The leadership in the Whitehouse and the Department of Defense were dismissing the obvious trends as insignificant. We were understaffed and undersupported, yet the mission was all the more critical.

"While many officers in the CIA were unwilling to serve in this deteriorating, high-risk and thankless endeavor, Ms. Pouty did not waiver. She arrived ready to work. She was relentless and provided critical operational information.

"It was a dynamic and unpredictable environment. Ms. Prouty was in the streets. She was one of the few Arabic speakers I had in the country, and as a consequence, she was always in demand. Ms. Prouty's hours were endless, yet

she never hesitated to take up a task despite a personal risk. Through it all she exhibited exemplary professionalism."

The media accounts of your case, perhaps prompted by the excessiveness of the press releases issued by the United States Attorney's Office, have grossly distorted the circumstances of your case, even taking into consideration the statement of the Assistant United States Attorney.

You and your family have suffered, and will continue to suffer, as a result of an error in judgment made 19 years ago, including loss of citizenship and loss of employment. In consideration of the foregoing reasons, and particularly the exemplary services you have rendered to our country, I have imposed the sentence recited above. It is sufficient punishment in light of the totality of the circumstances attendant upon your guilty plea. It is sufficient but not greater than necessary.

Thank you.

MR. CRANMER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE CLERK: All rise. Court is in recess.

(Proceedings concluded at 3:19 p.m.)

- - -

CERTIFICATION

I, Sheri K. Ward, official court reporter for the United States District Court, Eastern District of

Case	2:07-cr-20156-AC-MKM ECF No. 35 filed 05/16/08 PageID.294 Page 15 of 15
	Sentencing Hearing 15 Tuesday, May 13, 2008
1	Michigan, Southern Division, appointed pursuant to the
2	provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Section 753,
3	do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct
4	transcript of the proceedings in the above-entitled cause
5	on the date hereinbefore set forth.
6	I do further certify that the foregoing
7	transcript has been prepared by me or under my direction.
8	
9	Sheet 1,201 d
10	Sheri K. Ward May 16, 2008 Date Completed
11	Official Court Reporter
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	07-20156; U.S.A. v. Prouty